

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,727	02/11/2002	Andrew Arthur Hunter	30005967-2	7604
7590 01/28/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TAI T	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, Co	-		2632	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		( <b>A</b> `				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/073,727	HUNTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tai T. Nguyen	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 September 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 27-78 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 27-78 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11)	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The indicated allowability of claims 39-55, 57, 64-65, and 68-77 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Naidoo et al. (US 6,658,091). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 27 and 55 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 27, line 1, "detecting" should corrected as ----indicating---- in front of "the presence".

Regarding claim 55, applicant is required to clarify what intended by "wherein a sensor of the image capture device is the radio receiver arranged to receive..." It is not clear how the sensor being the radio receiver.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 27-55 and 58-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everett, Jr. et al. (US 4,857,912) in view of Naidoo et al. (US 6,658,091).

Regarding claim 27, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose a detection system (figure 1) for indicating the presence of an image capture device (80) in the vicinity of a person, the detection system comprising an indicator unit in the form of a siren (99) installed in or on the image capture device (figure 1) being configured to emit an indicator signal to alert a guard to the presence of the person (col. 10, lines 30-46).

Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for an indicator installed in or on the image capture device being configured to emit an indicator signal to indicate the presence of the image capture device in the vicinity of the person. Naidoo et al. teach a video security system (figure 1) having an indicator unit (col. 3, lines 12-24) installed in or on the image capture device being configured to emit an indicator signal to indicate the presence of the image capture device in the vicinity of the person (figure 1, col. 14, lines 60-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the indicator unit as taught by Naidoo et al. in the system as disclosed by Everett, Jr. et al. for the purpose of warning the person at a surveillance premise that he/she is captured under the capture device.

Regarding claim 28, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the indicator unit emitting the indicator signal in response to an external stimulus (col. 10, lines 30-46).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,727

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 29, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the image capture device including at least one motion sensor (12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 30, 78, 84; figure 1) arranged to sense an external stimulus.

Regarding claim 30, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the use of an acoustic sensor (84) used with the detection system (figure 1).

Regarding claim 31, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the use of an motion detector (16) used with the detection system (figure 1).

Regarding claim 32, Everett, Jr. et al. further disclose a remote detection unit (figure 1) having a radio transceiver (102), wherein the sensor of the image capture device being a radio receiver (96) arranged to receive a query signal transmitted by the radio transmitter of the remote detection unit when the remote detection unit is within a predetermined range of the image capture device (col. 10, lines 30-62).

Regarding claim 33, Everett, Jr. et al. further disclose the indicator unit being a warning device (col. 6, lines 1-29) and the indicator signal being an alarm signal (103, figure 1).

Regarding claims 34-35, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the alarm signal (103) being provided by the detection system. Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the alarm signal specifically being an audible or visual signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the alarm signal could have been visual/audible depending on the operating environment and necessary alert required in order to indicate the alarm condition.

**Regarding claim 36,** Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the indicator unit being a radio transmitter and the indicator signal being a radio signal (figure 1).

Regarding claim 37, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the radio transmitter being arranged to transmit periodic radio signals. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use periodic signals with the radio transmitter in order to prevent overlap and reduce power consumption.

Regarding claim 38, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the radio transmitter being arranged to transmit continuous radio signals. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use continuous signals with the radio transmitter in order to provide constant monitoring.

Regarding claim 39, Everett, Jr. et al., as modified, disclose a remote detection unit (figure 1) having a radio transceiver (102), wherein the sensor of the image capture device being a radio receiver (96) arranged to receive a query signal transmitted by the radio transmitter of the remote detection unit when the remote detection unit is within a predetermined range of the image capture device (col. 10, lines 30-62) but fail to disclose the remote detection unit being carried by the person. Naidoo et al. teach a security system having a remove client/PDA (155, col. 6, lines 16-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the portable detection unit can be used for the purpose of providing portable monitoring the surveillance premise at any location.

Regarding claims 40-50, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the remote detection unit comprises a warning device (audible/display screen alarm 103/97) for emitting audible alarm signal and visual/display information indicating the status of the image capture (figure 1).

Regarding claim 51, Everett, Jr. et al., disclose the instant claimed invention except for the remote detection unit further comprises a storage device arranged to stored information carried by the radio signal. Since Everett, Jr. et al. disclose a host CPU (94), it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to know that a memory is inherently included for the purpose of storing information transmitted from a local CPU (28).

Regarding claim 52, Everett, Jr. et al. the transceiver (96) transmitting radio signal to the host CPU (94) in response to an external stimulus detecting by the sensors (figure 1).

**Regarding claims 53-55**, refer to claim 29 above.

Regarding claims 58-59, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the image capture device being a video camera (80).

Regarding claim 60, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the explicit disclosure of the device being used in a public area. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device in a public area in order to detect people in the area.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,727

Art Unit: 2632

**Regarding claim 61-67,** the claimed method steps are inherent in the product structure.

Regarding claim 68, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the radio signal being received by a portable remote detection unit carried by a person. Naidoo et al. teach the security system transmitting monitoring data to a portable remote unit (155, col. 6, lines 15-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the portable unit as taught by Naidoo et al. in the system as disclosed by Everett, Jr. et al. for the purpose of providing monitoring data to a person at a remote location.

**Regarding claim 69,** Everett, Jr. et al. disclose period transmission (col. 6, lines 10-48).

Regarding claims 70-72, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the specific type of notification given at the remote unit. The specific type of notification at the remote unit would have been an obvious design consideration based on the operating environment.

Regarding claims 73-76, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the sensing devices being able to ascertain various operating states and sense different conditions (figure 1).

**Regarding claim 77**, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the step of storing information carried by the radio signal (col. 9, lines 10-31).

Regarding claim 78, refer to claim 68 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,727

Art Unit: 2632

4. Claims 56-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everett, Jr. et al. in view of Schieke et al. (US 2002/0075134).

Regarding claim 56, Everett, Jr. et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the transceiver/transmitter using infrared transmissions. Schieke et al. teach the use of infrared transmission between an electronic device and base station (paragraph 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use infrared transmissions for the device of Everett, Jr. et al. for the purpose of reducing spurious transmissions.

Regarding claim 57, refer to claim 39 above.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27-78 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bakshi et al. (US 5,946,404).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

Application/Control Number: 10/073,727 Page 9

Art Unit: 2632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tai T. Nguyen

Examiner
Art Unit 2632

January 22, 2005